

**District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee @ FY'27 ( PY40 / 2026 ) Program Schedule ( Jul-2025 )**

COUNTY CONTACTS		BUTLER	CLERMONT	CLINTON	WARREN
	agency address	Butler Co Engineers' Office 1921 Fairgrove Avenue Hamilton, Ohio 45011	Clermont Co – Community and Economic Development 101 East Main St Batavia, Ohio 45103	Clinton Co Engineers' Office 1326 Fife Avenue Wilmington, Ohio 45177	Warren Co Regional Planning Commission 406 Justice Dr, Room 128-A Lebanon, Ohio 45036
	name tele email	Dale Schwieterman (513) 785-4110 Schwied@bceo.org	Kris McClintick (513) 732-7906 KMcClintick@clermontcountyohio.gov Drew DeMarsh (513) 732-7904 ddemarsh@clermontcountyohio.gov	Jeff Linkous (937) 382-2078 Jlinkous@clintoncountyengineer.org	Kurt Weber (513) 695-3301 KWeber@co.warren.oh.us Christion Pajo (513) 695-1223 CPajo@co.warren.oh.us
	<b>2025</b> JUN	D#10PWIC - review, modify Project Selection Methodology	D#10PWIC - review, modify Project Selection Methodology	D#10PWIC - review, modify Project Selection Methodology	D#10PWIC - review, modify Project Selection Methodology
	JUL	OPWC – approve Project Selection Methodology  <b>(07/15)</b> notify communities of District #10 review process	OPWC – approve Project Selection Methodology  <b>(07/15)</b> notify communities of District #10 review process	OPWC – approve Project Selection Methodology  <b>(07/15)</b> notify communities of District #10 review process.	OPWC – approve Project Selection Methodology  <b>(07/15)</b> notify communities of District #10 review process
	AUG			<b>(09/18)</b> Applications due to Clinton County Engineer	
	SEP	<b>(09/26)</b> applications due to BCEO  <b>(10/16)</b> 1:30pm : meeting to review and score applications	<b>(09/19)</b> Applications due to Dept of Community and Econ Dev  <b>(10/03) 1:00pm</b> meeting to conduct technical review  <b>(10/17) 1:00pm</b> meeting to perform rating/ranking projects	<b>(10/07) 10:00am</b> meeting to review and rate project applications	<b>(10/03)</b> applications due to WCRPC via WorksWise and one paper copy to WCRPC  <b>(10/10)</b> review of applications for completeness  <b>(10/23)</b> scoring/ranking at WCRPC Executive Committee meeting
	OCT				
	10-24-2025	4:00pm : <b>Deadline</b> for submission of Applications to OPWC WorksWise portal			
	11-05-2025	1:30pm : <b>Technical Advisory Group meeting</b> to review all application submissions ( 210 West Main St – Lebanon, Ohio 45036 )			
	11-19-2025	6:30pm : <b>Executive Committee meeting</b> to review/recommend projects to Integrating Committee			
	11-19-2025	7:00pm : <b>Integrating Committee meeting</b> to review/approve projects for submission to Ohio Public Works Commission			
	Dec-25/Jan-26	<b>District Liaison</b> performs completeness review Lori B. Libby – 513.255.0352 or 513.529.9386 or LibbyLB@MiamiOH.edu			
	28-Feb-2026	Deadline for submission of District #10 PROJECT PACKAGE to Ohio Public Works Commission; Project Agreements released not before July 1, 2026.			

**Instructions for Completing the District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee**  
**PROJECT SELECTION RATING SYSTEM**

**Project Evaluation Process:**

1. Submittal - All project applications must be submitted to the OPWC WorksWise Portal prior to district's deadline and to their County sub-committee for review per their County schedule. If the project application is not submitted to both, the project will be rejected.
2. Eligibility Review – Applications will be reviewed by District Liaison for compliance with OPWC policies. Any project that does not comply with these policies will be deemed ineligible and returned to the applicant.
3. Preliminary Project Evaluation – Each project application will be reviewed and preliminarily scored by the County-area review team that represents the applicant. When multiple jurisdictions are cooperating on a project, one will be identified as the lead applicant. Preliminary application ratings will be submitted to District Liaison for consolidation.
4. Project Ratings – The Technical Advisory Group (comprised of representatives from each county) then evaluates Project Ratings in order to verify eligibility and a consistent application of the Project Selection Methodology across all project types.
5. Project Ranking – The Executive Committee reviews the project ratings and sorts the scores from highest to lowest and examines the requests for assistance according to Grant vs Loan and SCIP vs LTIP; with the assistance of the District Liaison, a cumulative total request figure is calculated. Since the total amount requests always exceed the amounts available for project consideration; a cut-off level of assistance is established.
6. Project Ranking Policy (Tie-Breaker) – When two or more projects achieve the same score, the policy of District #10 is to consider the percentage of local funds (highest to lowest) and then to consider the dollar amount requested (lowest to highest). The intention of this policy is to fund as many projects as possible while maximizing the leverage of OPWC funds. This policy becomes critical when projects are close to the cut-off line or “on the bubble”.
7. Project Ranking Policy (Contingency) - The Executive Committee may identify contingency projects below the cut-off level in order of priority that would automatically be provided funding if additional funding were to become available.

## DPWIC

**Legal Disclaimer:** The Director of the Ohio Public Works Commission is responsible for the development of the application for projects and approval of methodologies as mandated by Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC). In the event of any discrepancies or conflicts arising between the supplemental materials provided by the District Integrating Committee, Ohio Public Works Commission policies, and the relevant sections of the ORC and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC), the provisions of the ORC and OAC shall prevail. Any reliance or action taken based on information contained in the supplemental materials shall be at the applicant's own risk, and the Ohio Public Works Commission disclaims any liability for inaccuracies or inconsistencies therein.

### Infrastructure Laws, Rules, and Advisories

<https://publicworks.ohio.gov/programs/infrastructure/Laws,+Rules,+Advisories>

## **Project Criteria:**

- 1) **What is the Age and Condition of the existing infrastructure?** Projects which do not involve existing infrastructure are not eligible for points under this criterion.

*SCIP Reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 2 ; LTIP Reference – ORC 164.14 (E) 9*

- **Road** – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) shall be the rating calculated using ODOT's Local Pavement Assessment Tool (LPAT) methodology, or any similar pavement condition rating methodology which evaluates pavements on a rating scale of 0 (failed) to 100 (new). Pavement rating methodologies which use a rating scale of 0 (failed) to 10 (new) may be scaled to correlate to a 0-100 scale. If there are multiple roadway locations chosen, please use PCR weighted average based on lane miles.
- **Bridge / Culvert** – The sufficiency rating shall be that as calculated by the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) based on the previous years' annual inspection. Culvert condition shall be determined by the calculation of a sufficiency rating. If there are multiple bridge locations, please add the sufficiency ratings together and average.
- **Water / Sewer** – Applicant shall include a certified statement of the age of the existing infrastructure to be repaired / replaced. Applicant shall include a copy of any order to repair / replace infrastructure less than 50 years old; if less than 50 years old, provide sufficient evidence that the infrastructure component has exceeded the remaining useful life. Include pertinent information in Section 4.1 and 4.3 (C) of the application.

## 2) How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the Health and Safety?

*SCIP Reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 4 ; LTIP Reference – ORC 164.14 (E) 1 and 2*

Section 4.3 (B) of the application shall begin with a statement regarding the need to meet federal, regional (i.e. “10 State Standards” as published by The Great Lakes – Upper Mississippi River Board of State and Provincial Public Health and Environmental Managers), ODOT, or local road, street, water treatment or conveyance, wastewater treatment or conveyance, and /or stormwater design standards / specifications.

- **Road** – Major upgrades involve complete cross section alterations including new ditches and/or curbs, new lanes (including turn lanes), the complete removal and replacement of all pavement and base materials, projects that alleviate serious traffic problems and hazards, or projects that respond to needs caused by rapid growth and development. Minor upgrades involve isolated full-depth repairs, and mill and replace projects (2” depth minimum) and lane widening projects. Minimal upgrades involve overlay projects (2” depth minimum) with no lane widening.
- **Bridge / Culvert** – Major upgrades include complete replacement and superstructure replacement, projects that alleviate serious traffic problems and hazards, or projects that respond to needs caused by rapid growth and development. Minor upgrades include deck replacement and widening. Minimal upgrades include deck / superstructure repairs, protective coating systems, railing upgrades.
- **Water / Sewer** – Major upgrades involve projects ordered through EPA Consent Decree or Findings and Orders, projects required to comply with NPDES Permits, projects required to comply with Safe Drinking Water Regulations, projects to address a health nuisance issued by the Health Department, projects to eliminate sanitary sewer overflows and projects to address excessive water main breaks, low water pressure or insufficient fire flows. Minor upgrades involve replacement of deficient equipment, updating existing treatment processes due to EPA recommendations, rehabilitation or lining to reduce inflow and infiltration or renew useful life, and tank painting or coating projects. Minimal upgrades involve new infrastructure or expansion to serve existing homes or businesses that are not currently served by public water or sanitary sewer.
- **No upgrades** to health, safety or welfare include new infrastructure or expansion to meet future or projected needs or projected development.

## 3) How does proposed activity contribute to Replacement Needs of the district?

*SCIP reference ORC 164.06 (B) 1 ; LTIP Reference – ORC 164.14 (E) 10*

This item is to be calculated by the following formula:

$$[(\text{portion of project cost associated with replacement}) / (\text{total project cost})] \times 100$$

Bringing a facility up to standard, including upsizing various components is considered replacement. Any portion of a project adding capacity will be considered expansion.

- **Road** – Turn lanes, lane widening, and signals at intersections are considered safety improvements which do not add capacity and are therefore replacements. The addition of lanes for the length of a road segment, such as the construction of a two-way left turn lane is considered expansion. New roads are considered 100% expansion.
- **Bridge / Culvert** – Replacement of existing bridge and or rehabilitation of existing bridge (including lane and deck widening) is considered replacement. Widening a bridge to accommodate new or future additional lanes is considered expansion. New bridges (on a new road) are considered 100% expansion.
- **Water / Sewer** – Replacing existing lines with larger diameter lines to meet 10 State Standards or current flow demand is considered to be replacement. Replacing existing lines with larger diameter lines to accommodate future or anticipated flows is considered expansion. Lines to serve new service areas are considered 100% expansion.

**4) What is the total number of Users that will Benefit as a result of the proposed project?**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 4; LTIP reference - ORC 164.14 (E) 3*

This item is to be calculated as follows:

- **Roads** – use the higher of:
  - a) The ADT (average daily traffic) of the road; or,
  - b) The ADT of the road divided by the population of the jurisdiction times 100% (population from Community Income Index).
  - c) If there are multiple roadways, please add all the roadway's ADT together for the total ADT.
- **Bridges / Culvert** – use the higher of:
  - a) The ADT of the road over/under the bridge/culvert ; or
  - b) The ADT of the road over/under the bridge/culvert divided by the population of the jurisdiction times 100% (population from Community Income Index).
  - c) If there are multiple bridges, please add all the bridge's ADT together for the total ADT.
- **Water / Sewer** – use the higher of:
  - a) The number of taps located along the project (or **directly** served by the project); or,
  - b) The number of taps located along the project (or **directly** served by the project) divided by the total number of taps served by the system and multiply by 100%.

**5) What percent of the total project cost (T.P.C.) has been committed from FEDERAL and/or STATE grants, other than OPWC funds?**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 7 ; LTIP reference – ORC 164.14 (E) 4*

This item is to be calculated as follows:

$$[(\text{federal plus state grants (non-OPWC)}) / (\text{total project costs})] \times 100$$

Federal or state loans which are to be re-paid with local revenues are not to be included in this calculation.

**6) What percentage of the total project cost (T.P.C) has been committed from LOCAL funding sources?**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 4; LTIP reference - ORC 164.14 (E) 4*

This item is to be calculated as follows:

$$[(\text{local funding}) / (\text{total project costs})] \times 100$$

Local funds include: assessments, fees, in-kind contributions, federal or state loans (including OPWC loans) which are to be re-paid with local revenues, and other local public / private funds.

**7) Will the proposed project Generate Revenue in the form of special user fees or assessments?**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 3*

Projects are eligible for 1 point if they generate revenue in the form of user fees, assessments, tolls, etc. Other projects are not eligible for points under this criterion.

**8) What is the Adequacy of the Planning for the project and the Readiness of the applicant to Proceed?**

*SCIP Reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 9 ; LTIP Reference - ORC 164.14 (E) 5*

- 10 points – Plans complete at time of application – Submit a certification that the construction plans have been submitted for final review and/or that right-of-way acquisition is underway. Include submittal letters to ODOT, EPA, etc. If there are multiple locations, all locations must have plans complete.
- 7 points - Plans 30% complete at time of application – submit certification that the construction plans are in progress. Survey work should be complete, and line / grade stage plans submitted for review. Include submittal letters to ODOT, EPA, etc.
- 4 points – Plans under contract or authorized to proceed – submit copies of contract with design consultant or copies of authorization to proceed to design consultant or letterhead stating that the jurisdiction is completing plans In-House.
- 0 points – if no consultant has been authorized, or no consultant under contract, or bid award date exceeds one year from July 1<sup>st</sup> (project not eligible for this funding round).

**9) Applicant's previous performance on OPWC assisted projects.**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 6 and 8*

This item will measure the applicant's history of competing SCIP and LTIP funded projects and will be verified with a copy of a resolution or motion by the applicant indicating the date of award of contracts issued by the board with legislative authority. It is required and understood that award of a construction contract is contingent on the verification that the selected contractor is on the list of vendors approved by the State of Ohio. The applicant will receive the lowest applicable score. For example, when applying for funding in this funding cycle, the following would be received:

- 10 points – if the applicant had received no funding in the past ten (10) years OPWC funding cycles.
- 7 points – if the applicant has completed construction and requested project closeout for all projects funded prior to the past three (3) funding cycles, or, has no current projects.
- 4 points – if the applicant has any projects funded in the past two (2) OPWC funding cycles (excluding current year) and has not awarded a construction contract using SCIP or LTIP funds per OPWC agreement.
- 0 points – If the applicant has not awarded a construction contract for any SCIP or LTIP funded project per OPWC agreement older than three (3) years.

**10) What is your Community Index as shown on the attached Income Index table?**

*SCIP reference ORC 164.06 (B) 8*

The Community Index is calculated by the OPWC District 10 Program Liaison and provided in application packet.

**11) Does the project involve more than one jurisdiction?**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 10 ; LTIP reference - 164.14 (E) 7*

Partnering jurisdictions will qualify as involving or benefitting two or more jurisdictions by contributing local revenues in the amount of the lesser of 5% of the total project cost or \$25,000.

**12) Bonus points**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 10 ; LTIP reference - 164.14 (E) 10*

Attach supporting documentation dictating a complete ban or partial ban or jobs created/retained.

- **Road / Bridge** – A lane closure(s) are considered a partial ban. A full road closure is considered a complete ban.
- **Water / Sewer** – EPA requires a partial or a full ban on the utility or Orders a utility to complete a project.

**13) County priority**

*SCIP reference - ORC 164.06 (B) 10 ; LTIP reference - 164.14 (E) 10*

This item is reserved for District use only. Each County can award up to a total of 10 points (all County projects combined).

**District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee**

**COMMUNITY INCOME INDEX (PY40)**

Geographic Codes		DISTRICT #10 PWIC	Total Population	Per Capita Income	\$36,057	2020 INDEX	2015 INDEX	diff +/(-)
017	00017	Butler County	382,129	\$33,018	91.57%	2	4	(2)
017	00275	SW Reg Water	382,129	\$33,018	91.57%	2	4	(2)
017	25970	Fairfield city	42,623	\$32,551	90.28%	2	2	0
017	33012	Hamilton city	62,162	\$24,157	67.00%	8	8	0
017	49840	Middletown city	48,765	\$24,184	67.07%	8	8	0
017	51310	Monroe city	14,061	\$36,618	101.56%	0	4	(4)
017	59234	Oxford city	23,192	\$18,693	51.84%	10	10	0
017	77322	Trenton city	13,046	\$28,341	78.60%	6	6	0
017	38192	Jacksonburg village	51	\$18,386	50.99%	10	6	4
017	50540	Millville village	644	\$30,573	84.79%	4	6	(2)
017	55104	New Miami village	2,534	\$20,119	55.80%	10	10	0
017	71444	Seven Mile village	828	\$26,979	74.82%	6	8	(2)
017	25984	Fairfield township	22,765	\$34,154	94.72%	2	2	0
017	33250	Hanover township	8,712	\$29,568	82.00%	4	4	0
017	42672	Lemon township	15,303	\$33,766	93.65%	2	10	(8)
017	43050	Liberty township	39,549	\$47,824	132.63%	0	0	0
017	46340	Madison township	8,900	\$34,636	96.06%	2	4	(2)
017	50162	Milford township	3,722	\$33,364	92.53%	2	2	0
017	52080	Morgan township	5,816	\$32,877	91.18%	2	2	0
017	59241	Oxford township	25,341	\$21,055	58.39%	10	0	10
017	66096	Reily township	2,780	\$33,562	93.08%	2	0	2
017	68616	Ross township	8,888	\$34,461	95.57%	2	0	2
017	69498	St. Clair township	7,281	\$27,128	75.24%	6	8	(2)
017	82082	Wayne township	4,692	\$32,707	90.71%	2	2	0
017	83150	West Chester township	64,420	\$45,147	125.21%	0	0	0
025	00025	Clermont County	205,616	\$35,472	98.38%	2	2	0
025	50176	Milford city	6,840	\$37,497	103.99%	0	4	(4)
025	04150	Batavia village	2,266	\$23,714	65.77%	8	4	4
025	06068	Bethel village	2,797	\$19,371	53.72%	10	10	0
025	14226	Chilo village	66	\$39,503	109.56%	0	10	(10)
025	26880	Felicity village	722	\$14,701	40.77%	10	10	0
025	52416	Moscow village	94	\$27,163	75.33%	6	4	2
025	53956	Neville village	67	\$27,212	75.47%	6	10	(4)

Geographic Codes		DISTRICT #10 PWIC	Total Population	Per Capita Income	\$36,057	2020 INDEX	2015 INDEX	diff +/-
025	55384	New Richmond village	2,684	\$27,980	77.60%	6	6	0
025	59220	Owensville village	917	\$19,604	54.37%	10	10	0
025	85288	Williamsburg village	2,552	\$26,413	73.25%	6	10	(4)
025	04157	Batavia township	24,234	\$34,414	95.44%	2	6	(4)
025	28224	Franklin township	4,347	\$23,184	64.30%	8	8	0
025	31010	Goshen township	16,218	\$28,447	78.89%	6	6	0
025	37716	Jackson township	3,100	\$33,779	93.68%	2	8	(6)
025	49322	Miami township	42,575	\$45,726	126.82%	0	0	0
025	51338	Monroe township	8,213	\$32,915	91.29%	2	6	(4)
025	57960	Ohio township	5,427	\$25,909	71.86%	6	8	(2)
025	62540	Pierce township	14,963	\$41,854	116.08%	0	0	0
025	74825	Stonelick township	6,123	\$29,293	81.24%	4	0	4
025	76155	Tate township	9,713	\$26,939	74.71%	6	6	0
025	78288	Union township	48,328	\$33,019	91.57%	2	2	0
025	81130	Washington township	1,615	\$29,187	80.95%	4	4	0
025	82110	Wayne township	5,153	\$27,050	75.02%	6	6	0
025	85302	Williamsburg township	5,948	\$29,801	82.65%	4	8	(4)
<b>027</b>	<b>00027</b>	<b>Clinton County</b>	<b>42,000</b>	<b>\$28,107</b>	<b>77.95%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>
027	85792	Wilmington city	12,444	\$23,015	63.83%	8	8	0
027	06908	Blanchester village	4,401	\$21,343	59.19%	10	8	2
027	15406	Clarksville village	455	\$25,885	71.79%	6	8	(2)
027	45542	Lynchburg village	1,371	\$22,542	62.52%	8		8
027	48118	Martinsville village	747	\$16,685	46.27%	10	10	0
027	49896	Midland village	371	\$16,338	45.31%	10	10	0
027	55748	New Vienna village	1,194	\$24,659	68.39%	8	10	(2)
027	64360	Port William village	315	\$16,252	45.07%	10	10	0
027	69400	Sabina village	2,624	\$24,307	67.41%	8	10	(2)
027	00226	Adams township	2,164	\$52,067	144.40%	0	2	(2)
027	13974	Chester township	2,345	\$41,394	114.80%	0	0	0
027	15238	Clark township	2,443	\$24,807	68.80%	8	6	2
027	31710	Green township	2,444	\$27,832	77.19%	6	8	(2)
027	38542	Jefferson township	1,274	\$23,866	66.19%	8	8	0
027	43064	Liberty township	944	\$27,178	75.37%	6	0	6
027	47670	Marion township	5,410	\$22,239	61.68%	8	4	4
027	66642	Richland township	3,558	\$26,542	73.61%	6	10	(4)

Geographic Codes		DISTRICT #10 PWIC	Total Population	Per Capita Income	\$36,057	2020 INDEX	2015 INDEX	diff +/-
027	78302	Union township	3,045	\$36,753	101.93%	0	0	0
027	79772	Vernon township	3,013	\$26,945	74.73%	6	6	0
027	81144	Washington township	1,882	\$30,277	83.97%	4	6	(2)
027	82124	Wayne township	640	\$32,822	91.03%	2	6	(4)
027	85820	Wilson township	394	\$35,124	97.41%	2	4	(2)
<b>165</b>	<b>00165</b>	<b>Warren County</b>	<b>232,540</b>	<b>\$43,005</b>	<b>119.27%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
165	12168	Carlisle City	5,362	\$28,353	78.63%	6	6	0
165	28476	Franklin city	11,878	\$26,630	73.85%	6	8	(2)
165	42364	Lebanon city	20,781	\$31,577	87.57%	4	4	0
165	48188	Mason city	33,592	\$52,446	145.45%	0	0	0
165	73446	South Lebanon city	5,885	\$44,532	123.50%	0	4	(4)
165	74076	Springboro city	18,416	\$42,044	116.60%	0	0	0
165	10674	Butlerville village	216	\$20,835	57.78%	10	2	8
165	18840	Corwin village	434	\$32,329	89.66%	4	4	0
165	34384	Harleysburg village	725	\$31,198	86.52%	4	6	(2)
165	46872	Maineville village	1,621	\$28,372	78.69%	6	2	4
165	52374	Morrow village	1,999	\$31,383	87.04%	4	8	(4)
165	63576	Pleasant Plain village	197	\$35,195	97.61%	2	4	(2)
165	82418	Waynesville village	3,135	\$27,583	76.50%	6	6	0
165	15700	Clearcreek township	33,295	\$45,756	126.90%	0	0	0
165	21238	Deerfield township	40,552	\$48,847	135.47%	0	0	0
165	28490	Franklin township	32,158	\$29,543	81.93%	4	6	(2)
165	33068	Hamilton township	26,534	\$45,507	126.21%	0	0	0
165	33474	Harlan township	5,331	\$37,160	103.06%	0	0	0
165	48216	Massie township	1,299	\$34,790	96.49%	2	0	2
165	69988	Salem township	4,900	\$34,939	96.90%	2	2	0
165	77868	Turtlecreek township	15,851	\$33,895	94.00%	2	2	0
165	78610	Union township	5,114	\$80,414	223.02%	0	2	(2)
165	81690	Washington township	2,958	\$57,181	158.58%	0	0	0
165	82306	Wayne township	9,188	\$37,521	104.06%	0	0	0

American Community Survey - Economic Extract  
5-year Estimates: 2016 - 2020

APPLICANT		COUNTY			Total Points				
PROJECT TITLE					Checklist Complete?	YES	NO		
TYPE	road bridge	water supply treatment	water distribution	wastewater collection	wastewater treatment	solid waste disposal	stormwater systems		
#1		#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	0 points		
AGE and CONDITION of existing infrastructure	Roads	P.C.R. < 60		60<= P.C.R. <= 75	P.C.R. > 75		new construction		
	Bridges Culverts	<50 Sufficiency Rating		50 ≤ Sufficiency Rating ≤ 70	Sufficiency Rating >70		new construction		
	Water Sewer	EPA Order to repair/replace or facility > 50 yrs old		Replaces 25-49 yr old structure	Replaces <25 yr old structure		new construction		
#2	#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	0 points			
Impact of proposed activity to HEALTH and SAFETY		MAJOR IMPACT, see Instructions for further definition.		MINOR IMPACT, see Instructions for further definition.	MINIMAL IMPACT, see Instructions for further definition.		NO IMPACT, project is new or expansion, meets future needs.		
#3	#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	0 points			
REPLACEMENT NEEDS of District		100% Replacement		67 - 99% Replacement	33 - 66% Replacement		Replacement < 33%		
#4	#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	2 points			
Total number of USERS that benefit from proposed activity	Roads	> 2,000 ADT		1,000 < ADT ≤ 2,000	500 < ADT ≤ 1,000		ADT ≤ 500		
	Bridges	population = > 30%		population = 20% - 29%	population = 10% - 19%		population = less than 10%		
	Water	> 2,000 customers		1,500 < customers ≤ 2,000	500 < customers ≤ 1,500		customers ≤ 500		
	Sewer	customers = > 30%		customers = 20% - 29%	customers = 10% - 19%		customers = less than 10%		
#5	#VALUE		10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points	
% of T.P.C committed from FEDERAL / STATE Grants (other than OPWC funds)		80% or greater		70% to 79%	60% to 69%		45% to 59%	10% to 45%	< 10%
#6	#VALUE		10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points	
% of T.P.C. committed from LOCAL funding sources		more than 50%		41% to 50%	31% to 40%		21% to 30%	10% to 20%	< 10%
#7	#VALUE					1 point		0 point	
Will the proposed project Generate REVENUE in the form of user fees or assessments					YES		NO		
#8	#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	0 points			
Adequacy of PLANNING and Readiness to PROCEED		Plans complete at time of application		Plans 30% complete at time of application	Plans under Contract or Authorized to Proceed		No consultant has been authorized or under contract		
#9	#VALUE		10 points	7 points	4 points	0 points			
Applicants PERFORMANCE on OPWC assisted projects		No funding in past 10 years of OPWC funding		projects prior to past 3 funding cycles have been completed and requested closed out	projects from last 2 funding cycles, but have not awarded construction contract		has not awarded construction contract for any OPWC projects older than 3 years		
#10	#VALUE		10 points	8 points	6 points	4 point	2 points	0 points	
Community Income Index (see attached)		below 60%		60% or greater less than 70%	70% or greater less than 80%		80% or greater less than 90%	90% or greater less than 100%	100% and over
#11	#VALUE		10 points	5 points			1 point		
How many jurisdictions benefit		involves or benefits two or more counties		involves and benefit two or more jurisdictions within one county		involves only one jurisdiction but may benefit others			
#12	#VALUE		10 points	5 points			5 points		
BONUS POINTS (attach supporting documentation)		Complete ban of the use and expansion of the use by formal action of fed/state/local regulatory agency or court			partial ban of the use or prohibition of expansion without correction		Jobs created or retained as a result of the proposed project activity		
#13	#VALUE		COUNTY PRIORITY (for District Use only) Each County can award up to a total of 10 points for ALL projects						